



EXPEDITED PROCESSING  
RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116  
GROUP ART UNIT 1638

*THW*  
*AF*

Docket No. 72244-A/JPW/GJG

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicants: Allan Green et al.  
Serial No.: 09/837,751 Examiner: E. McElwain  
Filed : April 18, 2001 Group Art Unit: 1638  
For : METHOD OF MODIFYING THE CONTENT OF COTTONSEED  
OIL

1185 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10036  
April 29, 2005

MAIL STOP AF  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

**SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116  
IN RESPONSE TO AUGUST 4, 2004 OFFICE  
ACTION AND APRIL 20, 2005 ADVISORY ACTION,  
AND PETITION FOR A ONE-MONTH EXTENSION OF TIME**

This Supplemental Amendment is submitted to supplement applicants' Amendment filed February 4, 2005 in response to the final Office Action issued August 4, 2004 by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office in connection with the above-identified application, and to reply to the April 20, 2005 Advisory Action.

A Notice of Appeal was filed with the subject application on February 4, 2005 which was received by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office mailroom on February 9, 2005. Accordingly, an Appeal Brief was due April 9, 2005. Applicants hereby request a one-month extension of time from April 9, 2005 to May 9, 2005 for filing the Appeal Brief. The fee for a one-month extension of time is \$120.00 and a check including this amount is enclosed.

05/03/2005 MAHMD1 00000008 09837751

120.00 0P  
01 FC:1251

Applicants: Allan Green et al.  
Serial No.: 09/837,751 Filed: April 18, 2001  
Page: 2 of 11 of April 29, 2005 Supplemental Amendment

Accordingly, a the Appeal Brief is now due May 9, 2005 and this Supplemental Amendment is being timely filed.

In the April 20, 2005 Advisory Action, the Examiner indicated that claims 109-113, 117-126 and 131-135 are allowed, while claims 114-116, 136-139 and 144-146 remain rejected.

Initially, applicants would like to point out that claim 139 although included in the list of rejected claims, is not subject to the stated reason for rejection provided with the April 20, 2005 Advisory Action. Therefore, applicants believe that the inclusion of claim 139 into the list of rejected claims was in error, and respectfully request that the Examiner indicate that claim 139 is also allowed.

Furthermore, with respect to the rejection of claims 114-116, 136-138 and 144-146, applicants believe that this Supplemental Amendment overcomes the stated ground of rejection and places all of the pending claims in condition for allowance.

Please amend the subject application as follows: